

Ph.D. in Social Work Student Handbook

Academic Year 2024-2025



SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY

SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK

Table of Contents

Section 1.....	4
Program Overview.....	4
Program Objectives	4
Learning Objectives	4
Core Program Competencies.....	5
Curriculum Components	5
Annual Graduate Student Review	6
Section 2.....	6
Application and Admissions	6
Application Process	6
Admissions Process	7
Admissions Criteria.....	7
Funding Decisions	7
Section 3.....	8
Individualized Program of Study (IPS) Overview	8
Section 4.....	10
Progress Checklist.....	10
Section 5.....	15
Annual Evaluation of PhD Students.....	15
Section 6.....	15
Graduate Education Forms.....	15
Sample Graduation Education Forms.....	15
Section 7.....	16
Written Examinations.....	16
Purpose	16
Process	16
Academic Integrity	17
Grading.....	17
Section 8.....	17
Oral Examination.....	17
Purpose	17
Process	17
Oral Exam Committee	18

Examination Structure.....	18
Section 9.....	19
Dissertation and Dissertation Defense.....	19
Public Presentation and Defense of the Dissertation.....	20
Electronic Dissertation Submission and Final Requirements.....	21
Section 10.....	22
Additional Degree Requirements and Graduation.....	22
Section 11.....	22
Student Resources.....	22
Section 12.....	24
Policies – University, School and Program.....	24
Section 13.....	26
University Academic Integrity Policy	

Section 1

Program Overview

The PhD Program in Social Work (PhD SW) is built upon four pillars.

- ***Theoretical and methodological sophistication.*** The heart of our PhD critical thinking toolkit is theoretical and methodological sophistication, which is actively expressed inside the classroom with extensive coursework in the foundations of theory development, research design, measurement, and statistical methods. Outside of the classroom, interaction with faculty and fellow doctoral students acts to enrich the intellectual experience of our doctoral students.
- ***Transcending traditional disciplinary boundaries.*** Solving complex social problems includes increasing the well-being of individuals and communities and not only involves multiple disciplinary perspectives (i.e., multidisciplinary) and the integration of discipline (i.e., interdisciplinary) but perhaps more importantly involves transcending these boundaries in the search for solutions (i.e., transdisciplinary).
- ***Evidence-informed teacher training.*** Realizing that most PhDs in social work will eventually teach and are typically unprepared to competently do so, we embrace active evidence-informed teacher training. We provide teaching experience and training in teaching methods.
- ***Accelerated development and high productivity.*** Recognizing the need for doctoral students to complete their training in a timely manner we have constructed our program with mechanisms to increase the speed at which doctoral students develop dissertation research proposals and execute and defend their dissertation. We do so with a head start pre-program training guide, professional development seminars and our summer Institute in research proposal development. We also expect our graduates to leave with a minimum of 7 peer-reviewed articles published or under review and a fundable grant proposal.

This program competitively selects, admits, and enrolls students with proven academic skills and the potential to successfully complete the doctoral and make significant contributions to their fields. Mentorship - the essential component of doctoral education is an ongoing period of rigorous training under the direction of an appropriate faculty mentor. Each mentor will work with the student to structure a successful doctoral experience by selecting course work, facilitating professional experiences, shaping and directing the development of the dissertation experience, and providing professional guidance, thereby leading to a successful transition into a professional career.

Program Objectives

The objectives for the PhD SW Program are:

- To select, prepare, and graduate individuals for academic careers, private and public research, and consulting organizations.
- To provide students with expert skills in research design, methods, and dissemination.
- To train future researchers in an apprenticeship model in which students are matched, on admission, with mentor(s) in their chosen fields of study who have an active research agenda.

Learning Objectives

There are two sets of learning objectives for PhD SW Program:

- The first set focuses on the “core” knowledge, skills, and abilities that are expected of all individuals receiving the PhD degree. This set of knowledge, skills, and abilities includes the multidisciplinary, scientific fields of social work and criminology and criminal justice and the research design, methods, and dissemination techniques that facilitate scholarly contribution to one of these fields.
- The second set is specific to the student’s research focus and should include both methodological and topical knowledge. Courses in this second set usually are taken outside the school of social work.

Core Program Competencies

The specific knowledge and skills provided within the PhD SW Program are based on a set of eight core competencies. These competencies reflect the expectations of accrediting bodies as well as the faculty.

- Critically evaluate and identify gaps in current scientific knowledge and develop alternative explanations and research strategies.
- Design, conduct, and defend dissertation research that expands scientific knowledge.
- Demonstrate comprehensive knowledge of a specific area of research in your discipline.
- Communicate effectively about scientific information for diverse audiences through scientific publications, lay documents, and grant applications.

Curriculum Components

There are three components to the PhD curriculum.

- The **first is the core doctoral curriculum** required of all students, comprised of a total of 15 credit hours. These are divided between four shared courses and the research area synthesis. In addition, students are encouraged to participate in teaching experiences that prepare students to teach their own course(s) in either the BSSW or MSW program or in the Applied Behavior Analysis or Criminology and Criminal Justice degree programs. Further, there are required professional development workshops that provide students with the opportunity to build their unique professional skills outside of formal coursework or research.
- The **second is the elective curriculum** comprised of courses totaling 51 credit hours. Some of these credits may be for specific required courses and some may be for courses that are tailored for each student to provide them with the knowledge and skills needed to achieve their research and professional goals. *Students will have up to 24 credit hours of electives count toward the 72-hour total from an approved MSW or closely related master’s degree.*
- The **third component consists of the dissertation**, including 12 dissertation credit hours.

The objective of phase one is to develop a strong foundation in the interrelated nature of theory, research, and analysis. An additional objective is to develop a dissertation topic. The objective for phase two of the program is to build on the foundation established in phase one by developing knowledge and skills that are directly linked to the dissertation topic and specific to career goals. An additional objective is to add breadth and depth in a specialization area through the use of elective coursework. The objective for phase three is to deepen and integrate the knowledge and skills obtained in phases one and two as demonstrated by tangible progress on the dissertation, passing of a written exam, and writing and orally defending a dissertation.

Each student must complete the program within five (6) years of matriculation or submit a formal request to extend their studies, providing justification and a calendar for completion. If the petition is granted, the student must maintain their status by enrolling for zero (0) credit hours in dissertation research until they have completed and defended their dissertation.

Annual Graduate Student Review

The PhD SW Program Director will review progress of each student annually with their mentor and reach out to student about potential concerns.

Section 2

Application and Admissions

The PHD SW Program seeks to attract applicants with superior academic and professional records and strong potential for continued academic study and research in social work and related fields such as criminology. The program is designed primarily for individuals already possessing a Masters' Degree in Social Work or a related field (e.g., Criminology). Students not possessing an MSW may be required to complete additional coursework. Through structured classroom and independent research experiences, the PHD SW Program prepares students for a wide range of careers in academia, community, and government.

Most full-time students accepted in the program are guaranteed funding. Graduate Assistantships require a commitment of 20 hours of work per week and many provide tuition benefit(s), health insurance and a stipend. Part-time students are accepted given the discretion of the PHD SW Program Director and the ability to successfully work towards their degree while studying part-time and working part-time.

Application Process

- Current application requirements and processes are described in detail in the Graduate Education Catalog on the university website (<http://www.slu.edu/services/registrar/catalog/>)
- The application deadline is February 15th for Fall admission. Students will only be admitted for the Fall semester.
- Formal application requirements for ALL students:
 1. Official transcripts (and WES evaluation if International Institution)
 2. Two letters of recommendation
 3. Curriculum vitae/resume
 4. Statement of purpose (2-3 pages single spaced)
 5. Writing sample (previous academic research publication or paper)
 6. GRE scores at this time are optional

Admissions Process

- Applications will be received through the SLU graduate admissions portal, SLATE.
- The PhD SW Director reviews applications and identifies applicants to recommend for admission through conversations with students and potential mentoring faculty.
- The PHD SW Director notifies the SSW Director of Admissions (AD) to admit an applicant, who is then admitted by the AD and SSW Director before final admission by SLU Graduate Education.
- The PHD SW Director notifies the SW PhD Program Coordinator when an applicant will be admitted and if they will be offered funding, and if so, what the funding will be. The PhD Program Coordinator should also be advised who their faculty mentor will be.
 1. SW PhD program funding is decided at the aggregate level by the PhD SW Program Director in collaboration with the SSW Dean to ensure adequate funding before any offers are delivered. The SW PhD Program Director makes all decisions about specific student and new applicant funding.
 2. The School business officer should review draft of the offer letter template to ensure correct “GRA appointment term” for newly funded students.
- The SW PhD Program Coordinator drafts the admission/offer letter based on admission, funding and appointment details. After the SLU letter of admission has been sent, the SW PhD Program Coordinator sends the letter (as a PDF) to the admittee and includes the PhD SW PD, the SSW business officer, and the SLU International Office (if the applicant is an international student).

Admissions Criteria

The decision to admit is complex and driven by many factors. The following criteria guide these decisions.

- Evidence of exceptional intellectual ability (e.g., grades and GRE scores) and personal and interpersonal skills necessary to complete the Doctoral Program requirements.
- Evidence research commitment demonstrated by being part of a research team or faculty member’s research project.
- Research “fit” with the School and presence of a willing and suitable mentor in the SSW.
- Availability of financial support through one of the mechanisms described below or the ability to demonstrate a strong commitment to fund his/her own doctoral education

Funding Decisions

Assistantships will be distributed by the PhD SW Program Director in collaboration with the College. *Assistantships will only be available for 9 months and are renewable only for full-time funded students for the first two years.* After that time, stipend and tuition scholarship funding is based on the discretion of the PHD Social Work Director given student performance, research pathway, faculty mentoring availability, and undergraduate teaching needs. All admitted part-time students are funded at the discretion of the Ph SW Director.

Section 3

Individualized Program of Study (IPS) Overview

The IPS is a planning tool which identifies the specific courses for which a student should register to complete all the requirements of the degree. Although key components of the Doctoral Program are required for all students, much of the coursework and research will be tailored to match the student's interests and goals.

Development of the IPS is an important step for the student and mentor to undertake together. This process will facilitate discussions about the student's interests and goals, the courses that are available, and the research and practice experiences that will be part of the student's training. The IPS is intended to serve as a valuable guide for ongoing communication between the student and mentor and serves as a way for the student and mentor to monitor progress throughout the program. The PhD program IPS is as follows:

**PhD Program, School of Social Work
Individualized Program of Study (IPS)**

[Link to Catalog and Roadmap](#)

**Ph.D. Program, School of Social Work
Individualized Program of Study (IPS)
Based on 2021-22 Requirements**

Student:	Phone:
Email:	Banner ID #:
Mentor:	Address:
Semester Program Began:	
Date of Written Examination:	Request for Advance Standing?
Date of Oral Examination:	Date of Dissertation Defense
General Petition for Curricular Changes?	Date Filed for Degree Candidacy:

Doctoral Course Series	<i>Must be taken by all doctoral students prior to written exams; cannot be taken until student has fulfilled requirements for a master's degree [15 credits]</i>	Credits	Semester Planned	Semester Taken
SWRK 6000	Foundations of Theory Development in Social Work	3	Fall year 1	
SWRK 6010	Principles of Statistics and Data Analysis	3	Fall year 1	
SWRK 6020	Research Design & Measurement	3	Spr year 1	
SWRK 6030	Multivariate Data Analysis	3	Spr year 1	

SWRK 6040	Research Area Synthesis (<i>should be taken in semester finishing final coursework</i>)	3	Spr year 2 or 3	
Methods Elective Courses	9 credits			
	Methods course – social work, sociology, biostatistics, or other methods course deemed appropriate by Faculty Mentor	3	Any semester years 1-3	
	Methods course – social work, sociology, biostatistics, or other methods course deemed appropriate by Faculty Mentor	3	Any semester years 1-3	
	Methods course – social work, sociology, biostatistics, or other methods course deemed appropriate by Faculty Mentor	3	Any semester years 1-3	
Elective Courses	<i>To be determined by the student and faculty mentor based on the needs for the overall research plan. Can be taken in departments inside or outside of the College [12 credits]</i>			
		3	Year 2 or 3	
		3	Year 2 or 3	
		3	Year 2 or 3	
		3	Year 2 or 3	
Written Examination (Date planned: _____) - Written exams based on theory, methods, and research topic knowledge as determined by the research area synthesis committee.				
Teaching Experience	<i>A 1 semester of course assistance or independent teaching in school undergraduate and/or graduate programs is recommended. All students are encouraged to complete at least one teaching workshop from the Center for Transformative Teaching and Learning. Below, list course, semester taught, and whether was a teaching assistant or independent instructor.</i>	0	Year 2, 3, or 4	Semester Taken
General Electives (As Advanced Standing)	<i>Students receive up to 24 credit hours of electives from a previous master's degree that count toward the 72 hour total. Courses for students with graduate degrees outside of those offered by the School of Social Work may be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, and students may be required to take additional classes. Below is all advance standing as well as any additional courses that may be required. [24 credits]</i>			

Oral Examination (Semester planned: _____)

Dissertation Hours	<i>In Progress (IP)" grade given until Successful Defense; cannot begin until passing all written exam. Students should not take more than 6 credits in any semester without approval. [12 credits]¹</i>			
SW 6990	Dissertation Research	3		
SW 6990	Dissertation Research	3		
SW 6990	Dissertation Research	3		
SW 6990	Dissertation Research	3		
	Total Degree Hours	<u>72</u>		

Guidelines for mentors and students:

- Student substantive knowledge in an area of research is demonstrated by the research area synthesis, which critically summarizes major theories, findings and research issues. The research area synthesis serves as a transition between coursework and the dissertation.
- Students are to be competent in an area of concentration, developed by the Research Area Synthesis course, the two Concentration electives, and the dissertation.

¹Students are permitted to choose a traditional or non-traditional academic dissertation format. The traditional format involves the proposal, the research defense, and an oral defense. The body of the non-traditional format constitutes three thematic original studies (3 paper dissertation) where the student must be the first on three of these papers.

Any deviation from this plan will likely result in extending the length to degree completion.

Section 4

Progress Checklist

This checklist is intended to guide you as you progress through the program. The information in this checklist is not a comprehensive list of each requirement for successful degree completion; however, it is intended to provide you guidance and tips on the major steps along the way. Reading this checklist should not, under any circumstance, replace reading the PhD Program Student Handbook in its entirety! Also, please keep in mind that these steps may not always be completed in the order as they are outlined, and that it is your express responsibility to work closely with your mentor as you work through each area. Talking to other students who have gone through these steps may also be helpful during your journey.

The Program Coordinator for the PhD Program, [Jasmine Maloney, jasmine.maloney@slu.edu](mailto:jasmine.maloney@slu.edu), is a resource for student administrative questions, as is the Candidacy Specialist in Graduate Education. All curricular questions should go to the PHD Social Work Program Director or your faculty mentor.

1) Begin PhD Program

- Meet with PhD Program Director to overview program and discuss first courses to take.
- Register for first semester of classes with Program Coordinator.
- Read the PhD Program Student Handbook that explicates policies and procedures for the Doctoral Program.
- Read the Advanced Standing section in the PhD Program Student Handbook.
- Confirm with PhD Program Director what previous credits completed as part of a graduate degree program will be applied toward completion of PhD.
- Complete and submit forms for [Advanced Standing](#) and/or [Transferring Credit](#), available from the Graduate Education website. Submit to Program Coordinator.
- Before beginning of semester, ensure you have filled out all necessary payroll with the SSW business officer: Jessica Kilmade jessica.kilmade@slu.edu, and worked through any HR or Visa issues, and gotten your SLU ID.
- At beginning of semester, receive key to PhD student lounge (if full-time and working in Tegeler Hall).

2) Program Director Approval: Classes and Research

- Meet each semester before registration for next term with PhD Program Director to complete plan classes.
- Regularly meet with PhD Program Director and/or mentor to meet to discuss research/dissertation plan.

3) Complete Doctoral Course Series, Methods Core and required Concentration Courses, as detailed on Individualized Program of Study (IPS) Form

- Complete the Doctoral Course Series.
- Complete the Methods Coursework.
- Complete the Specialization Electives Courses.
- Complete my Research Area Synthesis.

4) Confirm Committees

- Confirm Written Examination Committee members.
- Confirm Oral Examination Committee members.
- Confirm Dissertation Committee members.

5) Written Exams

- Re-read Section 7 of the PhD Program Student Handbook, Written Examination.
- Inform via email the Program Director and exam committee of intent to pursue written exams and discuss process.
-

Plan dates with Program Director (and your RAS Committee) to take written exams.

- Email Program Coordinator to arrange dates/times and confirm room location of written exam.
- Submit written exam at end of each day to faculty committee and PhD social Work program coordinator.

If you pass written exams:

- Communicate with mentor and Program Director regarding plans to register for Dissertation hours, SWRK 6990.

If you do not pass written exams:

- Communicate with the Program Director, exam writers, and mentor on what is required next.

6) Complete Institutional Review Board (IRB) Requirements

- Should Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval be needed for your dissertation, complete through them.

7) Oral Exam

- Re-read Section 8 of the PhD Program Student Handbook, Oral Examination.
- Discuss and confirm with mentor and committee that you are prepared to take your Oral Examination.
- Arrange a time for Oral Examination with committee at least four weeks prior to the desired exam date.
- Work with Program Coordinator to reserve a room for Oral Exam.
- Complete the following and submit to Program Coordinator at least three weeks prior to scheduled exam date.
 - [Doctoral Oral Examination Form](#), to be completed in full, apart from the signature of the Dean/Associate Dean/Center Director (available on Graduate Education's website)
 - Degree Audit Form, to be completed in full, apart from the signature of the Dean/Associate Dean/Center Director (emailed to you by the Doctoral Candidacy Advisor).
- If not previously submitted, submit Advanced Standing or Credit Transfer forms (See # 1 above). These forms must be submitted to Graduate Education before you take your oral exam. A degree audit is conducted by SLU Graduate Education Candidacy Specialist and sent to you for signature.
- Complete oral examination.

If you pass oral exams:

- Communicate with mentor and Program Director regarding plans to register for Dissertation hours, SWRK 6990.

If you do not pass oral exams:

- Following procedures outlined above, schedule a new oral exam time
- Receive official notice of passing status from the Associate VP of Graduate Education and Letter of Candidacy (you are now a PHD Candidate and have “all but dissertation” status).
- Apply to graduate via Banner Self Service within the first two weeks of the semester you plan to graduate in.

8) Dissertation Hours

- Successfully complete 12 dissertation hours.
- If necessary, remind mentor to submit a grade for each semester of dissertation hours.

9) Apply to Graduate

- Plan with mentor and Program Director regarding plans for when you anticipate to defend your dissertation. You need to apply to graduate that semester (and within the first two weeks of semester). Your application can be moved to forward terms if you do not defend in the intended semester.
- Ensure you check Graduate Education site before each semester for deadlines for that semester for final dates of defenses.

10) Dissertation Defense

- Re-read Section 9 of the PhD Program Student Handbook, Dissertation and Dissertation Defense.
- Read the Graduate Education Formatting Guide (http://www.slu.edu/academics/graduate/pdfs/formatting_guide_revision_october_2017_final.pdf)
- Compare dissertation to [Formatting Guide](#) to ensure accuracy.
- Discuss and confirm with mentor and committee that your dissertation is ready, and you are prepared for your Dissertation Defense. All committee members should have reviewed and approved your completed dissertation.
- Arrange a time for Dissertation Defense with committee at least four weeks prior to the desired exam date.
- Work with Program Coordinator to reserve a room for Defense at least three weeks prior to scheduled exam date. Submit the title of your dissertation and the abstract with the request.
- Complete the following and submit to Program Coordinator at least three weeks prior to scheduled exam date:
 - [Notification of Readiness Form](#), to be completed in full, apart from the signature of the Dean/Associate (available on Graduate Education’s website).

Complete the following and submit to Dissertation Committee at least two weeks prior to scheduled exam date:

A copy of your completed dissertation.

Complete Dissertation Defense.

If you pass your defense:

- Make any corrections or revisions suggested or required by the committee at Dissertation Defense.
- If required, resubmit the dissertation to the committee with corrections.

If you do not pass your defense:

- Following procedures outlined above, schedule a new defense time
- Following procedures outlined above, complete and submit a new Notification of Readiness Form.

NB: A new outside committee member will be added to the committee in order to assure that policies and procedures are appropriately followed.

11) Format Review

- Email the Doctoral Candidacy Advisor in Graduate Education for a style and format review of your dissertation. Include your dissertation as an attachment.
- Make any corrections or revisions that were suggested or required during my format review with the Candidacy Advisor.
- Following directions from Candidacy Advisor, electronically submit dissertation to ProQuest for digital archiving and publication. Go to www.etsadmin.com/slu to begin the process.
- Make any corrections or revisions required by Candidacy Advisor and resubmit as necessary to ProQuest.

12) Commencement

- Respond to all communication regarding commencement as soon as possible.
- Confirm that your chair will attend commencement and is able and willing to hood you. If the chair is unavailable, ask another committee member.
- Direct any questions regarding commencement to the Program Coordinator.

13) Final Notes

- Return key to SSW Office Manager.
- Return any other University owned property to proper individual(s).
- Request final transcript via Banner Self Service when "Awarded" shows next to Degree Conferral Date on unofficial transcript on Banner Self Service.

Section 5

Annual Evaluation of PhD Students

Each academic year the program director and mentor (will evaluate the progress and performance of each student. Although we expect our PhD students to achieve exemplary evaluations, in some cases students will not be progressing as expected. In these cases, the annual evaluation will serve to point out areas of improvement, result in non-renewal of the graduate research assistantship, or termination from the program.

Section 6

Graduate Education Forms

SLU's Graduate Education website serves as an official source of current policies and procedures. These are subject to change without notice.

It is the student's responsibility to check the website for the official policies and procedures.

<https://www.slu.edu/academics/graduate>

*Also, it is important to note important **due dates and deadlines** each semester for oral exams, defenses and degree conferrals. Academic programs do not have the ability to override these deadlines.*

Sample Graduation Education Forms

The attached forms were printed from the [Graduate Education website](#) for reference purposes only. Please visit the website for the current version of each form.

- [Petition for Transfer Credit](#)
This form is to be used to transfer graduate coursework credit earned elsewhere as a non-degree or transient student.
- [Petition for Advanced Standing of a Doctoral Student](#)
This form is to be used to document completed, advanced coursework taken elsewhere that is applicable to a student's current doctoral program.
- [Doctoral Oral Examination Form](#)
Used to notify the Doctoral Candidacy Adviser that a student is ready for his/her oral exam. Outlines the title, time, date and committee members so ballots can be sent.
- [Notification of Readiness for the Public Oral Presentation of the PhD Dissertation](#) Used to notify the Doctoral Candidacy Adviser that a student is ready for the public presentation of his/her research. Outlines the title, time, date and committee members

so the final preparations can be made for presentation and publication of the dissertation.

Please note that the Memorandum of Agreement is not a Graduate Education form but is used by the School of Social Work internally to set forth the dissertation format and the roles of the committee members.

Section 7

Written Examinations

Purpose

As required by Graduate Education, the Doctoral Program administers written examinations following successful completion of the Doctoral Course Series, the Methods Core coursework and the required elective courses. The written examinations are structured to assess the student's knowledge and skills in the foundational, contextual, methodological and analytical skills needed of all social work researchers.

The written examination assesses students' achievement of the doctoral competencies covered within the general and concentration curricula and also reflects their expertise in a specific area of research. The written examinations combine two components: 1) Foundation (theory, methods, statistics) material 2) Research area.

The written exam is conducted by a committee of three (3) faculty who are likely to comprise the dissertation committee. Questions are approved by the Director of the Doctoral Program. The exam takes place across two sequential days (8 hours per day) and can be arranged anytime during the school year. The written exam should reflect competencies covered in the Doctoral Course Series and reflected topically in the research area synthesis. As such, questions can be generated that focus on a research area but are also tied to theoretical and methodological issues.

Specific written background material *may* be given, in advance, to students taking the written examination. Students will be informed in advance if materials will be distributed to them.

Process

The written examination will be administered after completing the Doctoral Course Series, the Methods Core coursework and the required elective courses and Research Area Synthesis (RAS). For a full-time student, the written examinations will normally be completed in the summer or fall following successful completion of the RAS. All sections of the written exams must be passed prior to beginning dissertation research.

Students desiring to take the written examinations will inform the Director of the Doctoral Program and the exam committee of their intent. The exam committee will coordinate the development, administration and grading of the examinations.

Multiple faculty members should be involved in the development of each exam (usually the three member RAS committee). All materials will be held strictly confidential throughout this process.

Academic Integrity

Students are expected to maintain academic integrity during the exam process. For more information on [our SLU's Academic Integrity Policy](#).

Grading

Grading of the written examinations is conducted by the three-member faculty committee (usually the same faculty from student's Research Area Synthesis committee).

In most circumstances, exams will be graded within one to two weeks. A student who fails any component of the written examinations may repeat that component once, preferably the next scheduled time it is offered. It is the student's responsibility to ensure that they have adequately overcome any weaknesses prior to taking the exam a second time. Should the outcome of the second examination be unsatisfactory, the student will be terminated from the program. Appeals may be filed with Graduate Education as outlined in the Graduate Education Catalog.

Section 8

Oral Examination

Purpose

The oral examination is intended to focus on the proposal of the dissertation. The presentation usually consists of a statement of the problem, literature review, and the research design prepared for the investigation. The exam is structured to assess the student's comprehensive knowledge of prior literature, ability to integrate knowledge across the discipline, and ability to design an appropriate research approach that significantly expands this body of knowledge. This is when the student presents a proposal for what they intend to do prior to starting their research.

The oral examination must follow the written exams and is only scheduled after the student has completed all structured academic coursework.

Process

The student works with their mentor to determine if they are ready for the oral exam. Once it is determined that the student is ready, they should work with their committee to determine an appropriate day and time.

The student must inform the Doctoral Program Coordinator and Director(s) of the intended date for the oral exam at least four weeks prior to the scheduled examination.

The student is also responsible for working with the Program Coordinator to reserve a room large enough to accommodate observers.

The student should complete the following and submit to the Program Coordinator at least three weeks prior to the scheduled exam. Forms are available on the Graduate Education website.

- [Doctoral Oral Examination Form](#), to be completed in full, apart from the signature of the Dean/Associate Dean/Center Director.
- A one-paragraph abstract of proposal defense to be sent out with exam announcement. This short abstract is intended to provide interested faculty and students with an overview of the research proposal.
- Degree Audit Form, to be completed in full, apart from the signature of the Dean/Associate Dean/Center Director.

If not previously submitted, the student must submit their [Advanced Standing](#) or [Credit Transfer](#) forms to the PhD Program Director prior to the oral exam.

After the oral examination, the [Doctoral Examination Form](#) will be signed on behalf of the committee by the chairperson of the dissertation committee along with formal approval from the Associate Vice President of Graduate Education.

[Oral Exam Committee](#)

The Oral Exam Committee consists of five (5) or more members that are normally the same as that of the written examination. The student's mentor serves as chairperson and moderator of the examination. The other members of the committee include the two additional members of the student's presumptive dissertation committee (selected by the student in consultation with the mentor) and members at-large as needed.

Graduate Education does not allow a formal dissertation committee to be set up until after the oral exam. In some cases, the student may be ready to start on a specific research project earlier in their program. These instances will require that:

- i. The committee chair works with the student to create the dissertation proposal; ii. A committee to be set up informally prior to the written exams. This committee will require a full proposal (introduction, background/literature review, methods) and/or scope of work to be shared and reviewed by all committee members; iii. An informal Memorandum of Agreement (MOA);
- iv. If the doctoral student or committee members want to change the methods or structure of the dissertation, this will need to be outlined in a formal MOA and cannot be submitted until after successful completion of the oral exam.

[Examination Structure](#)

The formal presentation is intended to last approximately one hour and is followed by questioning from the oral exam committee members. As moderator of the exam, the student's

mentor welcomes the audience, introduces the committee members, and explains the presentation structure. The mentor calls upon the student to make the presentation without interruption for questions. The student is expected to present a formal and thorough lecture about the field of study informing their research area, followed by an exposition of their specific dissertation project. Presentation of the proposed dissertation should include:

- Key questions
- Aims
- Objectives
- Preliminary findings (if applicable)
- Design and Methods

The information should be given in enough detail to relate the research to the prior literature. The presentation should clearly articulate how the proposed research will significantly advance current knowledge.

Following the presentation, the mentor conducts formal rounds of questions from the committee members, and then permits follow-up questions and additional inquiries until the committee is finished. The mentor will, depending on available time, invite questions from the audience. *It is very important that the student demonstrates their command of the topic by answering the questions and not relying on the committee members for assistance.* At the appropriate time, the mentor will close the public portion of the examination. The non-committee members are excused, and the committee will meet with the student privately to go over additional questions not suitable for the public forum.

The committee then meets in private to discuss the examination and complete confidential evaluation of the student's performance. The mentor will sign on behalf of the committee and return the form to Graduate Education. The committee will then share the results with the student.

A student receiving two or more unfavorable evaluations from the committee fails the examination. The Graduate Education office will formally communicate the outcome of the examination in writing to the student. Upon authorization by Graduate Education, a student who fails the oral examination may repeat it once. Ordinarily, the second attempt should not be scheduled within the same academic term as the first. The committee that administered the first exam will also administer the second examination. The mentor will submit a written request for a second examination to Graduate Education at least two weeks in advance of the desired date of that exam. It is the student's responsibility to work with the committee to identify and remedy any weaknesses. Should the outcome of the second examination be unsatisfactory, the student will be terminated from the program.

Section 9

Dissertation and Dissertation Defense

The ability to extend the knowledge base in the major field is a qualification distinctive to the doctoral degree. A candidate for this most advanced, earned degree must present substantial

evidence of this ability by presenting and defending a piece of original and independent research on a topic of importance that has been previously unresolved within the major field. This is perhaps the most valuable stage in doctoral training.

Students in the research phase of the program must formally enroll in Dissertation Research (SWRK 6990). A minimum of twelve (12) credit-hours of dissertation research is required. (*Students may not enroll in SWRK 6990 until they have passed their written examinations.*) Only after accumulating the total credit-hours required may the student register for SWRK 6990 for 0 credit hours.

- The student's mentor or dissertation chair are required to submit a grade of IP ("in progress") for each semester that the student is enrolled in Dissertation Research. In the semester the student successfully defends their dissertation, the chair will enter a grade of S ("satisfactory"), indicating the student has satisfactorily completed the dissertation.

The research for and writing of the dissertation generally must be completed in residence at the university. A doctoral student may, for good reason, seek and receive permission from the School of Social Work and Graduate Education to undertake portions of the research and/or writing "in absentia." A request to complete the entire dissertation 'in absentia' will not be approved for any student.

The organization and formatting of the dissertation is critically important and is described in [Graduate Education's formatting guide](#).

Important Note: Graduate Education has very strict deadlines for the final dates each semester that the defense may happen and/or the dissertation be reviewed, and the degree conferred. [Please ensure you check these dates on Graduate Education's website each semester.](#)

The doctoral student is expected to complete all requirements for the degree in a reasonable amount of calendar time. The student, admitted into the Doctoral Program after earning a Master's degree in the same or a similar field (such that the Master's degree work wholly or in large measure contributes to the preparation for research in the doctoral field), must anticipate completion of degree requirements within five (5) years of initial matriculation in the Doctoral Program. The student must contact the Doctoral Candidacy Advisor if they are nearing their allowed completion date and do not anticipate receiving degree conferral before this date.

Public Presentation and Defense of the Dissertation

The doctoral program requires a public, oral presentation and defense of the dissertation. The presentation should be scheduled after all committee members have reviewed and approved the completed written dissertation.

The student should arrange a time for the defense with the committee at least four weeks prior to the desired exam date. After confirming a date, the student should contact the Program Coordinator to reserve a room for the defense. When requesting a room, students should submit their dissertation title and an abstract.

At least three weeks prior to the defense, the student must electronically submit the following materials to the Program Coordinator:

- [Notification of Readiness Form](#), to be completed in full, apart from the signature of the Dean/Associate.
- Abstract for public announcement posting.

The public presentation and defense are structured in much the same way as the oral exam. The student is expected to present their work for approximately 60 minutes. Following the presentation, the student must be prepared to respond to questions from the committee members and audience. The dissertation committee chairperson (faculty mentor) serves as the moderator for the presentation and defense. As of Fall 2020, dissertation defenses can also be held online.

- As moderator of the defense the mentor welcomes the audience, introduces the committee members and explains the presentation structure.
- The mentor calls upon the student to make the presentation without interruption for questions.
- Following the presentation, the mentor facilitates formal rounds of questions from the committee members, and then permits follow-up questions and additional inquiries until the committee is finished.
- The mentor will, depending on available time, invite questions from the audience.
- At the appropriate time, the mentor can close the public portion of the examination and the audience will be excused.
- At this time the committee will meet to review the defense. Occasionally the committee may develop a list of modifications needed for final committee approval. The committee determines the outcome of the defense and conducts balloting according to Graduate Education policies.
- The mentor will call the student into the committee meeting to present and discuss the results of the defense. This conversation should include specific recommendations regarding what must be done for the dissertation to be considered complete.

Following the defense, the ballots are returned to the Graduate Education office. If any final modifications are requested by the committee, the student will complete these prior to final signing and submitting of the ballots.

If the student fails the dissertation defense, the formal procedures from Graduate Education to plan and request a second defense will be followed. [See Grad Ed process here.](#)

After both the written dissertation and defense of the dissertation ballots have been submitted to Graduate Education by the Program Coordinator, the student finalizes their format review with the Graduate Education Candidacy Advisor. All formatting and style errors must be corrected in preparation for publication on ProQuest, the University's site for electronic submission of theses and dissertations.

[Electronic Dissertation Submission and Final Requirements](#)

Students are required to electronically submit their dissertation to [ProQuest](#) before degree will be awarded. The Graduate Education Candidacy Advisor will provide complete instructions on the submission processing, including embargoes for publications, etc.

Section 10

Additional Degree Requirements and Graduation

Students apply to graduate via Banner Self Service within the first two weeks of the semester the student plans to defend and graduate.

Students will work with School staff to respond to communication regarding precommencement. If planning to participate in the College pre-commencement ceremony, students should confirm with their chair if they can attend commencement and are able and willing to hood them. If the chair is unavailable, the student should ask another committee member. Any questions regarding commencement should be directed to the Program Coordinator. Degree conferrals are done through Graduate Education and the Registrar's office. No diploma is provided during participation in ceremonies.

Section 11

Student Resources

These resources are intended to give students an overview of the many resources available on SLU's campus. While this list will be updated regularly, contact information is subject to change.

Saint Louis University Resources	
<p>Graduate Education https://www.slu.edu/academics/graduate</p> <p>Christine Harper, PhD. Doctoral Candidacy Advisor Christine.harper@slu.edu DuBourg Hall, 420D 314-977-2243</p> <p>Advises students, faculty, advisors, and department chairpersons of candidacy protocol, degree requirements, and necessity for petitions.</p>	<p>University Writing Resources https://www.slu.edu/life-at-slu/student-successcenter/academic-support/university-writingservices/ writing@slu.edu</p> <p>University Writing Services offers a range of resources to help students meet the elevated expectations of graduate writing.</p> <p>Reviews dissertations for style and format, authorizes preparation and release of diplomas.</p>
<p>Office of International Services http://www.slu.edu/international-services/ internationalservices@slu.edu</p> <p>Des Peres Hall, 102 3694 West Pine Mall St. Louis, MO 63108 Phone: +1 314-977-2318 Fax: +1 314-977-3412</p>	<p>Parking, ID Cards, and Campus Transportation https://www.slu.edu/parking/index.php parking@slu.edu</p> <p>3545 Lindell Blvd Wohl Center, First Floor, Suite 130 314-977-2957 Hours: Monday-Friday – 8:00 a.m. – 4:00 p.m. For parking permits, SLU ID cards, Billiken Bucks, Campus Transportation/Shuttle Information.</p>

<p>Student Financial Services https://www.slu.edu/financial-aid/contact.php sfs@slu.edu One Grand Blvd, DuBourg Hall, Room 119 314- 977-2350</p>	<p>Saint Louis University Libraries http://lib.slu.edu Rebecca Hyde Social Work Reference Librarian rebecca.hyde@slu.edu Pius Library, Room 202H 314-977-3106</p>
<p>The Reinert Center for Transformative Teaching and Learning https://www.slu.edu/ctl ctl@slu.edu Pius Library, 2nd Floor, Ste. 221 3650 Lindell Blvd. 314-977-3944 The Reinert Center for Transformative Teaching and Learning has three major service components: teaching enhancement, learning technologies, and service learning.</p>	<p>Department of Campus Ministry https://www.slu.edu/life-at-slu/campus-ministry Wuller Hall 314-977-2425 Campus Ministry offers opportunities for cultivating an informed faith, a commitment to social justice, and an integrated way of life</p>
<p>Career Services http://www.slu.edu/life-at-slu/career-services Social Work Contact: Eliza Angarano: eliza.angarano@slu.edu career_services@slu.edu Griesedieck Hall, Lower Level, Suite 130 314-977-2828 Drop-In Hours: Monday-Friday (1-2) Other Hours by Appointment Career Services helps individuals explore, discover, and connect their personal definition of career to the community and world of work</p>	<p>University Counseling Center https://www.slu.edu/life-at-slu/universitycounseling/ Wuller Hall, 2nd Floor 314-977-8255 (TALK) The experienced counseling professionals at the University Counseling Center provide care and consultation to SLU students. Staff members can help students with a variety of issues and the center is fully accredited by the International Association of Counseling Services (IACS).</p>

Section 12

Policies – University, School and Program

SLU policies can be found at these sites:

- Provost Website – [Faculty and Academic and Course Policies](#)
- General Counsel Website – [Office of Institution Equity and Diversity](#) (which includes information, reporting guidelines, and resources for Affirmative Action, Harassment, Hate Crimes, and Sexual Assault)
- University Catalog -- <https://catalog.slu.edu/academic-policies/academic-policiesprocedures/>

Academic Integrity

The PhD program expects all students to adhere to Saint Louis University’s academic integrity policy. The policy, in its entirety, may be found on the [University’s Academic Provost policies Affairs webpage](#).

Academic Probation

If a student’s cumulative GPA falls below a 3.0, then the Program Director shall place the student on academic probation and notify the student, in writing, of their changed status. Within the next 12 credit hours of coursework, the student needs to show an improvement in grades and raise his/her cumulative GPA to 3.0. While students are on academic probation, there may be restrictions placed on the number of courses/credit hours and/or kinds of courses they may complete.

Dismissal from Program

Doctoral students earning below 3.0 GPA in one semester are subject to dismissal or probation upon the recommendation of the Doctoral Committee. Students who fail to emerge successfully from probation in their next semester are also subject to dismissal. Please note that this academic dismissal policy is intentionally more stringent than the policy governing Master’s students. Students may be automatically dismissed from the Program for any of the following reasons:

1. If, at any time during the course of study, the student receives one “F” grade.
2. If the student fails to move off academic probation within 6 credit hours.
3. If, by the end of the 7-year limit for completion of PhD degree requirements, the student does not have a 3.0 GPA.
4. If, by the end of the 7-year limit for completion of degree requirements, the student has not completed all required degree requirements including not passing written or oral exams or not completing or failing dissertation requirements.

Dismissal decisions and notification are handled by the Program Director. Students may appeal a program dismissal within 10 days by submitting a written letter to the Dean of the School of Social Work.

Grievance

[Also see SLU's Academic Appeals policy](#) and [Office of Institutional Equity and Diversity for Harassment or Discrimination claims](#). Any student enrolled in a School of Social Work course and/or degree program may submit a written appeal to the Associate Dean for Academic Affairs and/or the Dean of the School of Social Work. The Associate Dean/ Dean will forward the grievance for review to the Director of PhD Programs or the Student Affairs Committee. A "grievance" is defined as a formal inquiry or complaint requesting a review related to a school policy or procedure, or a situation where the student feels that a faculty or staff member treated them unfairly or unprofessionally. **Students are first encouraged to resolve any conflicts with the specific faculty or staff member and any overall program policies with the Director of the PHD Social Work program.**

The Director of PHD Social Work Program or the Chairperson of Student Affairs Committee will meet with students to discuss possible ways to address the situation and/or to encourage the student to write a formal grievance. Once the Director or Chairperson receives and reviews the written grievance then an individual director or full committee meeting will be convened within five working days with the student. Advocates will not be allowed for graduate grievance meetings. Following consideration and review, the committee shall forward a written recommendation on action to the Director of the PHD Social Work Program or the Dean of the School of Social Work for action. Within an additional five working days, the student shall have a response to their grievance.

If the student and Program Director cannot reach a satisfactory solution, the student should convey the complaint to the Associate Dean for Academic Affairs, in writing. The Associate Dean for Academic Affairs thereafter will research the matter, making whatever inquiries are appropriate, and then inform the student of her findings. If a satisfactory solution is not reached, then the student may convey the complaint to the Vice Provost for Graduate Education in writing or in person. The matter will be reviewed for adherence to process(es), and the student will be informed of the findings.

Leaves of Absence

A leave of absence means the student remains in the Program and intends to finish their degree here at SLU but seeks a semester or year away from coursework to take care of other issues in his/her life. Students are urged to use caution in requesting a leave of absence. During the leave period, students do not have enrollment status for purposes of health insurance, loan deferment or access to campus libraries and computer services. **Doctoral Students cannot be funded while on leave.** The time taken during an approved leave of absence will not be included as part of the time students have to complete their degree. Complete the [Leave of Absence form](#) and give the complete/signed form to the Program Director. There is no guarantee that a leave of absence request will be granted.

Parental Leave Policy

See SLU's [Graduate Student Parental Leave Policy](#)

Withdrawal from Program

A withdrawal from the Program means the student will not remain in the degree program and does not intend to finish the Program at SLU. In order to fully withdraw from the PHD Program, students must complete the [Intent to Withdraw form](#) and give the completed/signed form to the Program Director.

University Academic Integrity Policy

Version: 3.0

Responsible University Official: Provost

Version Effective Date: 8/21/2024

1.0 Introduction

Saint Louis University is a community of learning in which integrity and mutual trust are vital. Since the mission of the University is "the pursuit of truth for the greater glory of God and for the service of humanity," acts of integrity are essential to its very reason for existence. They also dignify and strengthen the activities of teaching, research, health care, and community service that are its primary mission.

Since the University seeks to prepare students and instructors for lives of integrity and occupations of trust, it regards academic integrity as a matter of serious import. Academic integrity is the foundation of the academic assessment process, which in turn sustains the ability of the University to certify to the outside world the skills and attainments of its graduates. Academic integrity allows those who practice it to contribute to a just and equitable learning environment that cultivates moral character and self-respect.

This policy is grounded in a respect for each faculty member's initial evaluation of an alleged academic integrity incident, for a student's right to confidential, equitable, and timely adjudication of alleged incidents, and for the shared conviction of our college/school deans and associate deans that a university-wide academic integrity policy and process best promotes equitable and consistent application.

Students are expected to adhere to the standards of academic integrity as defined in this policy and as guided by the faculty and staff supporting their educational endeavors, thus contributing to an environment in which academic integrity is respected.

The Academic Integrity Policy detailed below sets out principles implicit in the University's ethos but that call for explicit formulation to guide its practice.

2.0 Scope

The Policy on Academic Integrity set forth here is designed to promote ethical conduct within the University community by:

- Defining the responsibilities of various members of the University community.
- Defining violations of academic integrity.
- Setting minimum standards for reporting and adjudicating (making a formal judgement/decision) violations of academic integrity.
- Establishing procedures for appeals to the Office of the Provost.
- Establishing standards and procedures for maintaining records.

Saint Louis University undergraduate and graduate students' educational experience in all modalities is governed by this Academic Affairs policy except for courses delivered by the School of Law, the School of Medicine, the Center for Advanced Dentistry Education, and the Madrid campus.

Note: Alleged violations of academic integrity in scientific research will be addressed in accordance with the Research Integrity and Compliance Program in the Office of the Vice President for Research.

3.0 Definitions

This section defines academic integrity and articulates the conduct and standards considered as having violated this policy. More than one violation may apply.

Academic integrity is the commitment to and demonstration of honest and moral behavior in an academic setting. The University and wider academic community are built on shared values and norms of behavior, including honesty, fairness, and responsibility. Applying academic integrity to one's work entails practicing honesty and fairness towards others, taking responsibility for learning, and following the conventions of scholarship. The University is responsible for awarding credit for honestly conducted work, and students are responsible for demonstrating academic integrity by practicing the following:

- Using information, text, images, and all other materials incorporated into academic work appropriately, according to copyright and privacy laws.
- Acknowledging the source of information whether taken from another person, artificial intelligence, or other technology.
- Conducting research ethically, in line with the University's regulations on human research ethics.
- Reporting research truthfully.
- Acting ethically and honestly in all academic endeavors.
- Acknowledging faculty members' intellectual properties and confirming faculty support when students conduct research, apply for assistantships and/or fellowships.

Academic Integrity Incident refers to reported student conduct that violates the academic integrity standards set forth in this policy.

Falsification is the misrepresentation of fact for academic gain.

Falsification may include, but is not limited to:

- Lying to or deceiving an instructor regarding academic work.
- Fabricating or misrepresenting documentation or the data used in completing assignments.
- Misrepresenting or altering information in the academic records of an instructor, academic or administrative department, or unit of the University unless authorized to do so.

Plagiarism is the presentation or representation of content as if the content were the student's own without proper citation. Examples include thoughts, words, or data created by another source other than the student not explicitly permitted by the instructor. This definition includes self-plagiarism as the use of material prepared for one class and submitted in another without proper citation and without permission of the current instructor.

Plagiarism may include, but is not limited to:

- Directly presenting the written, artistic, or spoken work generated or created by someone other than the student, by artificial intelligence, or by other technology without quotation marks or indented quotations and without proper citation to the source.
- Paraphrasing or incorporating the ideas, concepts, arguments, observations, images, objects, music, or statements generated or created by someone other than the student, by artificial intelligence, or by other technology without proper citation of the source.
- Presenting information from the internet, produced by artificial intelligence, or by other technology so that it appears to be the student's own work.
- Submitting as the student's own, any work that has been prepared, either entirely or in part, by another person, group, commercial firm, artificial intelligence, or by other technology without proper citation.
- Claiming research advisors' research idea as the student's own and using these ideas to apply for scholarships/assistantship/fellowships without research advisors' approval/support.

Cheating is the use of unauthorized assistance to gain an advantage over others, and/or a failure to comply with any reasonable direction or instruction of an officer, employee or agent of the University relating to the conduct of a formal examination or assessment.

Cheating may include, but is not limited to:

- Copying from another student's examination or work.
- Using assistance, notes, aids, artificial intelligence or other technology, cell phones, calculators, translation software, or internet-based applications not authorized by the instructor in taking quizzes or examinations or to complete assignments.
- Acquiring, disseminating, or using any academic form of assessment belonging to an instructor or staff member without prior approval.
- Hiring or otherwise engaging in the impersonation of another person to take a quiz or examination or in fulfilling other academic requirements.
- Asking students for solutions to assignments, exams, quizzes and then submitting these solutions as their own.

Sabotage is the disruption of or attempt to prevent the academic pursuits of others.

Sabotage may include, but is not limited to:

- Intentionally interfering with work or undermining the academic success of others in the University community to negatively impact another's academic performance.
- Modifying, stealing, or destroying academic materials including, but not limited to, computer files, library materials, artwork, personal books, and papers.
- Taking any action that negatively impacts research outcomes including, but not limited to, lab tampering, falsification of data, withholding data/findings, or destruction of research resources.

Collusion is the unauthorized collaboration in a deceitful manner with another person or persons for the purpose of giving or gaining an academic advantage in the completion of an assignment, quiz, or examination that has been restricted to individual effort. Collusion does not include receiving help from authorized University assistance.

Collusion may include, but is not limited to:

- Paraphrasing another student's assignment and submitting it as their own.
- Having another individual or group do the/an assessment task.
- Giving solutions to assignments, exams, quizzes to other students.

Concealment is the failure to report to the instructor or to call to the attention of an instructor or administrator any matter where a student knows of facts indicating a significant likelihood that a violation of this Academic Integrity Policy has been or will be committed or that an academic unit requires be reported, including the behaviors described in the definitions in this section.

Preponderance of Evidence is a widely accepted standard of evidence/proof applied to academic integrity incident evaluations, proceedings, and determinations. This standard requires that a finding be proven to be 'more likely than not' to be true, based on the totality of the information or materials available to the decision maker(s) and free of bias.

Egregious is a willful act or conduct by a student who intentionally violates the university-wide Academic Integrity Policy in an impactful and a serious manner beyond a common transgression.

Restorative Educational Opportunity is a teaching and learning practice that empowers students to learn from mistakes, to recognize the impact of their actions, and to develop and enhance skills, problem-solving, and a deeper understanding of academic integrity issues.

Conflict of Interest is any interaction with a student(s), faculty, or staff involved in the Academic Integrity adjudication process that could directly and significantly affect one's responsibilities on

the Academic Hearing Panel.

4.0 Responsibilities of Members of the Community

Creating a learning environment in which high standards of academic integrity are valued requires the efforts of everyone in the University community.

Retaliation or bias by or against any community member for exercising their rights or responsibilities under this Academic Integrity Policy is prohibited and may result in sanctions as deemed appropriate by the University.

Faculty (and instructors of record) are responsible for adhering to high standards of academic integrity in their own teaching and professional conduct; sharing relevant parts of the policy on their syllabi and assignments (e.g., an explicit statement on use of artificial intelligence and/or other technology); explaining key terms and discipline/course specific academic honesty norms to students; and following procedures for reporting and adjudicating possible violations both in and out of their academic unit. Furthermore, faculty are encouraged to create assignments that minimize academic dishonesty through clear expectations and to help create an environment where academic integrity is uppermost. Participation in formal academic hearings is expected as appropriate.

Students are responsible for adhering to university standards of academic integrity and seeking clarification from their instructors when they are uncertain if a behavior is in violation of this policy, helping to create an environment in which academic integrity is respected, and reporting violations of the policy to instructors, department chairs, or administrators. Participation in formal academic hearings is expected as appropriate.

Staff are responsible for calling the attention of their supervisors to possible violations of academic integrity, for modeling high standards of academic integrity in their own teaching and professional conduct and for otherwise supporting a community of academic honesty and trust. Participation in formal academic hearings is expected as appropriate.

Academic administrators such as Deans, Chairs, and Directors are responsible for adhering to university standards of academic integrity in their teaching and professional conduct, reporting incidents as needed, and for otherwise supporting a community of academic honesty and trust. Participation in formal academic hearings is expected as appropriate.

The Office of the Provost in collaboration with Deans and Directors of academic units are responsible for integrating concepts of academic integrity into academic programs and curricula to comply with the University policy. Participation in formal academic hearings is expected as appropriate.

Director of Academic Integrity (DAI) is responsible for overseeing aspects of academic integrity as assigned by the provost and helping shape, coordinate, and maintain the academic integrity system at the University.

5.0 Reporting and Adjudication Procedures for Allegations of Violations of Academic Integrity

Confidentiality applies to all aspects of the proceedings and all University students, faculty, and staff who are subject to this policy. Each case of academic dishonesty, names of student(s), facts, comments, and material information should remain confidential. Disclosure of this information is limited to the Academic Hearing Panel and those University officials for each case who have a need to know the information in connection with discharging their official duties and responsibilities. Violation of this confidentiality clause may result in sanctions as deemed appropriate by the University.

Every effort will be made to complete the Academic Integrity process within **60 University business days** of initial reports.

- Incidents that impact graduation may require an expedited time frame.
- Incidents that impact course registration that dictates curricular progression scaffolding may require an expedited time frame.

Prior to the Formal University Academic Integrity Process

- If an instructor is unsure if what they see constitutes an Academic Integrity Incident, they should discuss how to proceed with their chair, other administrator, or the DAI.
- The course instructor communicates (in-person or in writing) with the student(s) regarding alleged Academic Integrity Incident(s).
 - Such communication should occur within a timely manner (not more than **10 University business days** from identification of alleged Incident).
- If after communicating with the student the instructor determines there was no Academic Integrity Incident, based on a preponderance of evidence, or the occurrence is appropriate for a restorative educational opportunity, the process is complete.
- If after communicating with the student the instructor determines there is or likely has been an Academic Integrity Incident, based on a preponderance of evidence:
 - The instructor shares with the student a summary of violation findings, supporting evidence, imposed and/or proposed sanction(s), and the University Academic Integrity Policy. Specific evidence may be shared with student unless:
 - The evidence is in danger of being compromised or deleted.
 - The evidence would violate the privacy of another student(s).
 - The evidence would compromise the future academic integrity of the course materials.
 - The instructor begins the formal University Academic Integrity Process.

Formal University Academic Integrity Process

- If the instructor determines there is a preponderance of evidence that an Academic Integrity Incident occurred, they shall submit an academic integrity incident report with an imposed and/or proposed sanction(s) to the DAI via the University database of confidential and permanent records account no later than **5 University business days** following initial communication with the student. The complete submission to the DAI by the instructor shall include the following:
 - Report of findings
 - Syllabus
 - Particulars of assignment
 - Evidence (copies)
 - Relevant email correspondence (if any)
 - Imposed and/or proposed sanction(s)
- Upon receipt of the submission, the DAI reviews University-wide records to determine whether the incident is a first or recurring Academic Integrity Incident and may offer suggestions to the instructor's imposed and/or proposed sanctions accordingly.
- The DAI notifies the student via their SLU email account of the finding(s), imposed and/or proposed sanctions, implications, and whether it is a first or recurring Academic Integrity Incident.
- The student must acknowledge or refute responsibility in writing via their SLU email account within **7 University business days**.
- Student failure to respond to the notification of the of account of the finding(s), imposed and/or proposed sanctions, and implications, after **7 University business days** will be treated as acceptance of responsibility. Students who do not respond to the notification may follow the new evidence appeal process. Students are eligible to initiate a new evidence appeal within **30 University business days** of notification.

If Acknowledged First Academic Integrity Incident:

- The DAI collaborates with instructor(s) to facilitate sanction equity and confirm the imposed and/or proposed instructor sanction.
- The DAI informs the student of sanction(s) implications.

- The DAI works with the student to ensure compliance to sanction(s) (if applicable).
- The DAI enters sanction(s) into the University database of confidential and permanent records.
- The DAI reports closure of case to the following (as applicable):
 - Student
 - Instructor of course
 - Associate Dean of the student's academic home
 - Department Chair/Director of course and of student's major
- Findings and sanction(s) are entered into the University database of confidential and permanent records. Saint Louis University is bound by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA)s. The files and information contained in the University database of confidential and permanent records are subject to these guidelines as student records.

If Academic Integrity Incident and/or Associated Sanction is Refuted or a Recurring Academic Integrity Incident:

- The DAI assembles a 3-person Academic Hearing Panel from members of the Academic Integrity Board, as defined by the Academic Integrity Bylaws, to adjudicate and make determination of responsibility based on a preponderance of evidence.
- The DAI appoints a Chair of Academic Hearing Panel responsible for scheduling and communicating with accused student, instructor, and Academic Integrity Office.
 - The DAI may attend Academic Hearing Panel Hearing to observe and advise on process as a non-voting, ex officio member.
 - When scheduling the hearing, every effort will be made to not interfere with a student's or instructor's academic schedule.
- Academic Hearing Panel conducts Hearing in adherence to the Academic Integrity Board Bylaws.
 - The Academic Hearing Panel may solicit input from academic and administrative units and individuals whose professional/disciplinary expertise is needed to fulfill the Academic Hearing Panel's review (i.e., the alleging faculty, other faculty from associated college/school, the associated academic department chair, the associated college/school dean's office, ITS, the Dean of Students Office, etc.).
 - The Academic Integrity Office provides the Academic Hearing Panel with all relevant reports, evidence, and pertinent information.
 - The Academic Hearing Panel confers separately with the student and the instructor.
 - The Office of Academic Integrity informs via SLU email the student/instructor of the date, time, and location of the Hearing at least **5 University business days** before the hearing.
- Hearing parameters:
 - The Academic Hearing Panel Hearing may be conducted in-person or virtually.
 - The hearing may not be recorded.
 - The accused student's participation in the hearing is compulsory. If participation results in absence from a course, the University Authorized Absence Policy applies. If the student fails to attend the scheduled hearing, they are subject to a referral to the Office of Student Responsibility. A student's lack of participation in the hearing does not prevent the Academic Hearing Panel from determining responsibility. A student's lack of participation does not constitute a presumption of responsibility.
 - The student may bring one personal advisor, e.g., parent, guardian, faith-based leader, or an attorney of the student's choosing at the student's own expense. The advisor is only present to support the student through the process but may not speak for the student, ask questions of others present, or interfere with the hearing.

- If the student wishes to speak privately with their advisor during the hearing, they may request a brief recess from the hearing.
 [Appropriate FERPA (Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act) Waiver required.]
- o The student, instructor, and/or Academic Hearing Panel have the right to request witnesses in advance of the hearing. The Chair of the Academic Hearing Panel (in consultation with DAI) determines whether a witness is relevant to the hearing proceedings and may allow the witness at the hearing or not. [Appropriate FERPA (Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act) Waiver required.]
- The Academic Hearing Panel's determination is premised on all the materials provided, including those submitted by the instructor as part of the original Academic Integrity Incident Report and any subsequent evidence or applicable context provided by the instructor, student and/or the respective academic department and/or dean's office. A **majority vote** of voting members is required to determine the student's responsibility for the alleged violations.
 - o If the student is found responsible for the violation, based on a preponderance of evidence, the Academic Hearing Panel determines whether to uphold or adjust the originally imposed and/or proposed sanctions.
 - o If the student is found not responsible for the violation, based on a preponderance of evidence, no sanction(s) will be imposed on the student.
 - The Academic Hearing Panel Chair prepares an Academic Hearing Panel Hearing Summary including a brief synopsis of the Hearing and the final decision regarding student responsibility and sanction(s). The Summary shall be submitted to the DAI within **5 University business days** of the Hearing.
 - The DAI communicates the Academic Hearing Panel decision and sanction(s) (if any) to the student and instructor within **10 University business days** of the Hearing.
 [Notification via SLU email]
 - o If the student is found responsible:
 - The DAI will inform the student of the sanction(s) and implications.
 - The DAI will work with the student to ensure compliance with the sanction(s) (if applicable).
 - The DAI will inform the instructor of the decision.
 - The DAI will inform the Associate Dean of the student's academic home.
 - The DAI will inform the Department Chair/Director of course and of student's major.
 - The DAI will enter records of the sanctions into the University database of confidential and permanent records.
 - o If the student is found not responsible:
 - The DAI will inform the student of the process findings.
 - The DAI will inform the instructor of the findings.
 - The DAI will collaborate with the instructor to reverse any sanctions that may have been applied.
 - The DAI will inform the Associate Dean of the student's academic home if applicable.
 - The DAI will inform the Department Chair/Director of course and of student's major if applicable.
 - The DAI will destroy all case materials for students found not responsible.
 - The Academic Integrity Incident Report, supplemental materials, findings, and sanction(s) are entered into the University database of confidential and permanent records. Saint Louis University is bound by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA)s. The files and information contained in the University database of confidential and permanent records are subject to these guidelines as student records.

Right of Appeal – New Evidence Appeal or Process Appeal to the Office of the Provost

- Parties involved in the academic integrity incident may appeal the decision of the Academic Hearing Panel to the DAI based only on either of the following grounds:
 - New Evidence Appeal: New evidence not available at the time of the Academic Hearing Panel Hearing, which would have a material impact on the case's determination.
 - Process Appeal: There was a material deviation from the procedures set forth in this Academic Integrity Policy that would significantly impact the outcome of the matter or may have resulted in a different finding.
- The appeal must be submitted in writing via SLU email to the DAI within **7 University business days** of notification of Academic Hearing Panel Hearing decision.
- In the case of an appeal based on new evidence, the DAI refers the case and all relevant materials (initial report, evidence, Academic Hearing Panel Hearing summary, approved sanction(s), etc.) to the original or new Academic Hearing Panel within **5 University business days** for a new hearing and follows the procedures and timelines outlined above.
- In the case of a process appeal, the DAI refers the case and all relevant materials (initial report, evidence, Academic Hearing Panel Hearing summary, approved sanction(s), etc.) to the Office of the Provost within **5 University business days**.
 - The DAI informs the student and instructor that the appeal has been referred to the Office of the Provost or the Academic Integrity Hearing Panel.
 - The Office of the Provost will make every effort to provide a decision regarding the appeal within **10 University business days**.
- DAI shall communicate via the student's SLU email the Academic Hearing Panel/Provost Office decision and sanction(s) (if any) to the student and instructor within **10 University business days of the appeal decision**.
 - If the student is found responsible:
 - The DAI will inform the student of the sanction(s) and implications.
 - The DAI will work with the student to ensure compliance with the sanction(s) (if applicable).
 - The DAI will inform the instructor of the decision.
 - The DAI will inform the Associate Dean of the student's academic home.
 - The DAI will inform the Department Chair/Director of course and of student's major.
 - The DAI will enter records of the sanctions into the University database of confidential and permanent records.
 - If the student is found not responsible:
 - The DAI will inform the student of the appeal findings.
 - The DAI will inform the instructor of the appeal findings.
 - The DAI will collaborate with the instructor to reverse any sanctions that may have been implemented.
 - The DAI will inform the Associate Dean of the student's academic home if applicable.
 - The DAI will inform the Department Chair/Director of course and of student's major if applicable.
 - The DAI will destroy all case materials for students found not responsible.

The Office of the Provost decision is final and not eligible for further appeal.

6.0 Sanctions

Academic Integrity sanction(s) will be determined based on whether the incident is a first or recurring Academic Integrity Incident and/or egregiousness of the incident. Sanction(s) may include but are not limited to:

- The faculty may determine the incident is appropriate for a restorative educational opportunity and no formal sanction is applied.
- The student may be required to repeat/revise the assignment or complete an alternative assignment.
- The student may receive a lowered, failing, or zero grade on the examination or assignment in question.

- The student may receive a lowered or failing course grade in the course in question. The student shall have the right to continue in the course without retaliation or penalty pending final resolution.
- The student may be dismissed from their academic program/department after multiple incidents per the academic program/department dismissal policy if applicable.
- Visiting students (including 1818) may be prohibited from participating in the program/opportunity.
- The student may be suspended or expelled from the University.

The aforementioned sanctions may be accompanied by a requirement to participate in additional academic education support designed to prevent future Academic Integrity Incidents.

7.0 Historical Context

On 6/26/2015 the University adopted a university-wide Academic Integrity Policy after development with and vetting through individual academic unit's governance bodies by a committee of faculty, deans, staff, and students. To comply with the University policy, academic units were expected to amend their own academic integrity policies to align with university definitions and minimum standards. Individual academic units were to consider standards of academic and professional conduct for their own disciplines. Therefore, the University Academic Integrity Policy did not offer a single set of procedures for adjudicating violations of academic integrity at the academic unit level and only applied standards for process, record keeping, and appeals to the Office of the Provost with the exception of violations of academic integrity in scientific research (which was guided by the University's Research Integrity Policy).

The University Academic Integrity Policy creates a unified adjudication process across school/colleges and centralizes record keeping and academic integrity metrics.

Maintenance or records (see the University Policy of Maintenance of records at records (<https://www.slu.edu/provost/policies/academic-and-course/policy-records-management-and-retention.pdf>)

The current policy supersedes all previous versions. Academic units (as specified in the Scope section above) are expected to follow the Reporting and Adjudication Procedures for Allegations of Violations of Academic Integrity described above.

This policy was:

Endorsed by CADD: 5/22/2024

Approved by the Provost: 5/22/2024